Chapter 11 Surgeon, Media, Society, Patient: Four Factors in Determining the Ethics of Cosmetic Surgery

Brett Lunceford

Independent Researcher, USA

ABSTRACT

For many, cosmetic surgery holds the promise that one can reshape his or her body to remove perceived defects and thus have a more perfect body. However, the decision to undergo elective cosmetic surgery is not made in a vacuum, and it is easy to overlook the full range of ethical considerations surrounding cosmetic surgery. Many medical ethicists subscribe to an ethical code that centers mainly on the relationship between the doctor and patient, with a focus on respect for autonomy, nonmaleficence, beneficence, and justice. This chapter builds on this framework by extending the scope of actors to include not only the surgeon and the patient but also the media and the overall society. To illustrate this framework, the author uses the example of actress Heidi Montag, who underwent 10 different plastic surgery procedures in one day. The chapter concludes with a discussion of potential correctives for ethical failures in each of these areas.

INTRODUCTION

For most of human existence, biology has been destiny. However, with the advent of cosmetic surgery, this is no longer the case. Jordan (2004) observes that "over the course of the last century, plastic surgery advocates have engaged in a concerted, commercial effort to redefine the human body as a plastic, malleable substance which surgeons can alter and people should want to alter in order to realize their body image ideals" (p. 328). If anything can be corrected, there is now the possibility that one can truly have the perfect body. This shift in technological possibilities raises questions concerning what lines should be drawn concerning body modification; as Clemens (1985) observes, "Technology forces us to deal with complex ethical questions that arise only because the technology creates the situation" (p. 164).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5094-5.ch011

Even in cases where the ethics may seem clear, there can be controversy. For example, some portions of the deaf community have fought vehemently against cochlear implants in deaf children (for more on this controversy, see Balkany, Hodges, & Goodman, 1996; Lane & Bahan, 1998). As such, one must proceed with caution when considering the ethics of body modification and enhancement. One thing seems clear: the question of what *can* be accomplished through medical technology may be outpacing our ability as a society to answer what *should* be done. Technoethics provides an entrée into this discussion because, as Luppicini (2009b) explains, "technoethics is techno- and bio-centric (biotechno-centric)" (p. 3). In other words, technoethics allows us to consider the technological aspects of the situation as well as the lived experience of the individuals who would be impacted by the action in question.

Beauchamp and Childress (2001) propose the following biomedical ethical framework that has become widely adopted:

- 1. Respect for autonomy (a norm of respecting the decision-making capacities of autonomous persons)
- 2. Nonmaleficence (a norm of avoiding the causation of harm)
- 3. Beneficence (a group of norms for providing benefits and balancing benefits against risks and costs)
- 4. Justice (a group of norms for distributing benefits, risks, and costs fairly). (p. 12)

However, even these seemingly clear-cut issues can seem at odds sometimes. For example, Beauchamp and Childress (2001) observe that beneficence can sometimes conflict with the principle of autonomy in the case of paternalism (p. 176). Still, Gillon (1994) adds the dimension of scope to this framework and observes that "I have not found anyone who seriously argues that he or she cannot accept any of these prima facie principles or found plausible examples of concerns about health care ethics that require additional moral principles" (p. 188). Nor do I have any issues with these principles, but I do not think that they go far enough in considering the ethics of a given situation because they maintain the focus on the interaction between the patient and physician. In our media saturated world, we must shift the frame to also consider the environment in which we live. In this chapter I will examine the case of cosmetic surgery in particular and propose that we consider: the ethics of the medical professionals who perform and advertise these procedures; the ethics of the media structures that promote a homogenous ideal of beauty; the ethics of those within society who tacitly approve of such procedures; and the ethics of the individual making the decision. I will use the case of actress Heidi Montag to illustrate this framework.

Although many have gone under the knife in the pursuit of beauty, Montag stands out as an exemplar of this trend. Montag underwent ten different plastic surgery procedures in one day, stating, "I had a little bit of Botox, an eyebrow lift, my ears tucked, I had my nose re-aligned, fat injections put into my cheeks, my lips done and I had my chin shaved down" (Berman, 2010, p. C4). Of course, there is more to be done, as she heaps plastic surgery upon plastic surgery: "I would like to get my breasts redone. Because I couldn't get them the size I wanted because they couldn't fit" ("Heidi Says," 2010, p. 31). After her barrage of surgeries, she told *People* magazine: "I see an upgraded version of me. It's a new face and a new energy. It's a new person and I feel like almost all of the things I didn't want to be and who I turned into kind of got chiseled away" (Garcia, 2010, p. 84). The only way that Montag could be herself, it seems, was by removing parts of her flesh. But Montag had no intention of resting on her surgically-enhanced laurels. Says Montag, "Let's just say there's a lot of maintenance. Nobody ages perfectly, so I plan to keep using surgery to make me as perfect as I can be. Because, for me, the surgery

is always so rewarding" (Garcia, 2010, p. 88). Because Montag's barrage of surgeries raised significant ethical questions both within and outside of the medical community, she provides an excellent case study with which to examine ethical dimensions of cosmetic surgery.

FOUR ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF COSMETIC SURGERY

The Surgeon

Bunge (1975) argues that creators and users of technology bear ethical responsibility for making them beneficial (p. 72) and concludes that "the technologist is responsible <u>for</u> his professional work and he is responsible <u>to</u> all those affected by it, not only to his employer" (p. 73). In other words, maintaining a technoethical stance forces the technologist to own his or her actions. One cannot use the technician's defense made famous by Adolf Eichmann that he or she was simply obeying orders (see Arendt, 2006). In the case of the cosmetic surgeon, this means that the customer is not always right and those who perform elective surgery bear a significant ethical burden. In the case of Montag, two facets of the framework proposed by Beauchamp and Childress (2001) stand out: nonmaleficence and beneficence (p. 12).

In the case of plastic surgery, there may be conflicts between nonmaleficence and beneficence when the ill that one corrects is influenced by the very people providing the cure. In her discussion of cosmetic dermatologists, Baumann (2012) notes that they "have the goal of improving their patient's appearance and skin health, but all too often, financial motivation can cloud their judgment" (p. 522). Cantor (2005) likewise notes that the physician's "livelihood depends on performing the very interventions they recommend," but notes that "economic self-interest is less flagrant when a surgeon insists that a sick patient have gallbladder surgery, even if she stands to profit from the procedure, than when a dermatologist sells a patient an expensive cream of dubious value" (p. 155). A similar judgment can be made for cosmetic surgeons. On the freeway near my home, I see billboards for plastic surgeons promoting "beauty for life." Plastic surgeons stand to gain financially by promoting an image of the body as intrinsically flawed and lacking in natural beauty. As Blum (2005) argues, cosmetic surgery "holds out a technological and economic solution (if you have the money, the technology is there) to the very dilemma posed by the way capitalism manages femininity by simultaneously commodifying it, idealizing it, and insisting on its native defects" (p. 110).

Long before the popular press began to read Montag's body, it was read—and written—in great detail by the plastic surgeon that would perform the procedures. Jerslev (2006) describes such a transaction:

The body burdened with the stigmata of the surgeons' marker brutally announces the verdict of bodily incompleteness. It points out that the body does not belong to the one that inhabits it but to another person's objectifying gaze, and it says that the material body is never a finished, singular entity, but a modifiable mass of organic matter. (p. 146)

Jothilakshmi, Salvi, Hayden, and Bose-Haider (2009) argue that "the goals of esthetic surgery are to correct the physical defects that adversely affect a person's body image and ultimately to improve the quality of one's life" (p. 54). But what do we mean when we say "defect"? Western society has coded

such naturally occurring variations as pendulous breasts, protruding labia minora, and single eyelids as defects. Nowhere is the desire to correct perceived defects more prominent, however, than in the discourse surrounding aging (see Lin, 2010). Smirnova (2012) suggests that discourses surrounding women and aging

has simultaneously constructed the aging woman as both victim and hero—her body vulnerable and in need of rescue by her will to partake in anti-aging technologies. The technologies themselves are also part of the heroic narrative, masculinized by the rhetoric of neoliberal, rational action backed by scientific and medical authorities. (p. 1236)

In short, a woman who does not fight against the ravages of time is seen as less desirable. As De Roubaix (2011) observes, "Women are obliged to comply with constructs of beauty and normality to remain competitive. Society regards youthfulness as desirable; the mass media both generates and feeds upon these constructs" (p. 15).

Returning to the question of ethics, we are left with the question of "whether women really make free choices in favour of aesthetic surgery under these circumstances" (De Roubaix, 2011, p. 13). Women are placed in the unenviable position of choosing whether to surgically alter their bodies or to matter at all in society. In some ways, this undermines the autonomy of the individual. In advertising the body as defective, one can simultaneously maintain the principle of nonmaleficence from the perspective of the physical body—indeed, may argue that he or she is making the patient better—but may cause psychological harm that will drive the patient to his or her practice to seek relief. As Hardwick-Smith (2011) explains, "Obviously, we should never suggest that a patient's anatomy is 'abnormal.' I DO think that it is important for the patient's self-esteem that she hear that we have seen her type of anatomy many times before, and that she has nothing unusual (even when she does)" (p. 109).

Feminist scholars (e.g., Bordo, 1993; Jeffreys, 2005; Polonijo & Carpiano, 2008; Wolf, 1991) have placed cosmetic surgery within the framework of patriarchal power, but Sanchez Taylor, (2012) entertains the possibility that

with the expansion of the cosmetic surgery industry and the "make over culture" that surrounds it, others choose surgery simply because it is affordable, readily available, fashionable, and so increasingly "normal" to consume surgery in the same way that other beauty and fashion products and services are consumed. (p. 464)

Thus, to claim that those who undergo cosmetic surgery are simply victims of social forces beyond their control is to oversimplify the transaction. Holliday and Sanchez Taylor (2006) argue that "contemporary women who routinely adopt the markers of hypersexualization associated with classed and racialized bodies (such as buttock implants or collagen lips) are not passive but active and desiring (not just desirable)" (p. 191). But the impulse for cosmetic surgery may not be to stand out or to look better than everyone else, but rather to simply fit in. Participants in a study by de Andrade (2010) reported that they sought cosmetic surgery to be "normal," especially after pregnancy. However, one 59-year-old woman stated, "At my age, I have to do it. I have to undergo cosmetic surgery and have a facelift so as to look younger, more beautiful. All my friends are doing it" (de Andrade, 2010, p. 79).

One danger suggested by Gupta (2012) surrounding the commercialization of cosmetic surgery is that "consumers may regard aesthetic surgery as a commodity that is bought rather than a service pro-

vided by a trained professional" (p. 548). Despite the desire to respect patient autonomy, the customer is not always right. For some, cosmetic surgery can be seen as a shortcut—a way to get the body one desires in ways that may be otherwise impossible. As Montag notes, "Sure, there are healthier ways to lose weight than stapling your stomach, but you can't exercise your way into bigger boobs or a smaller nose" (Husted, 2009a, p. B03).

The cosmetic surgeon must walk a fine line between respecting the autonomy of the patient and contributing to a culture that pathologizes the body. Consider the example provided by Blum (2003) of the surgeon who advised his patient that in addition to the rhinoplasty that she had planned, he would also "remove her under-eye bags" (p. 276). She notes that "this surgeon has a reputation for doing wonderful eyelid surgery. Unsurprisingly, then, he focuses on the eyes of all prospective patients. This 'flaw' is somehow magnified for him" (p. 277). In this case, it seems that the surgeon transgressed against the principle of autonomy by instilling a sense of doubt concerning the patient's features that was not previously there.

Cosmetic surgeons claim the authority to stand in judgment of the body of the patient and hold the ability to correct flaws in that body. Jordan (2004) notes that "surgical applicants must confront the medical community's ideological perspective on the healthy body and how this influences surgeons' choices about which bodies and desires will receive surgical attention and which will be rejected as inappropriate" (p. 328). The surgeon decides what is wrong with the individual because, as a society, we have outsourced alteration and care of our bodies to medical professionals. We no longer trust ourselves with our own bodies. Although this abdication of autonomy is problematic, this illustrates the need for practitioners to tread carefully when considering the needs of the patient. Harris and Carr (2001) state that "the benefits of [plastic surgery] interventions for the patients concerned are psychological: relief of psychological distress and improvement in social and psychological functioning" (p. 216), but the practitioner must be sure that the flaws corrected are those seen by the patient and not those suggested or created by the surgeon.

The Media

The mass media plays a significant role in individual attitudes toward cosmetic surgery (see Luo, 2013; Solvi et al., 2010; Swami, 2009; Swami et al., 2011; Wen, 2017). Indeed, Swami, Taylor, and Carvalho (2009) found a correlation between celebrity worship and positive attitudes towards cosmetic surgery. It is no great leap to suggest that images of beautiful people may cause some to unfavorably measure themselves against this standard. Most people deal with the fact that they will not look like their favorite celebrity, but for some the pressure is overwhelming; cosmetic surgery offers the potential to come closer to that standard of beauty.

In their discussion of Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), Chan, Jones, and Heywood (2011) explain that "BDD is characterised by time-consuming behaviours such as mirror gazing, comparing particular features to those of others, excessive camouflaging tactics to hide the defect, skin picking and reassurance seeking," explaining that "BDD patients may present to the plastic surgeon requesting multiple cosmetic procedures" (p. 6; for more on BDD diagnosis, see Veale et al., 2012). Kellett, Clarke, and McGill (2008) suggest that those seeking breast augmentation surgery may reflect "a lack of balanced body image or obsessional tendencies" (p. 516). Some have suggested that perceived imperfections are influenced by media images. Berry, Cucchiara, and Davies (2011) provide this explanation of what constitutes the "ideal breast": "there is a common view, perhaps as a consequence of globalization and advertising,

of an attractive breast: one full, without ptosis and good symmetry" (p. 1402). In their discussion of labiaplasty, Cartwright and Cardozo (2008) also note that "women requesting surgery report disabling psychological distress associated with a perception that their labia are abnormal in size or shape. . . . The often erroneous perception of abnormality may arise from comparison with women's genitalia as depicted in pornography" (p. 285). Life imitates art.

This assessment works both ways; as people read the bodies in the media, the media also reads the bodies of individuals. Montag's body is no exception here. Supermodel Paulina Porizkova compared Montag to a "cheap, plastic pool float," as she railed against the culture of plastic surgery (Camilli, 2010, p. E5). Babcock (2010), writing for the Spokane *Spokesman Review*, states, "Imagine, 23 years old and already Botoxed, lifted, lipo-ed, and implanted like a blow-up doll. The surgeries were not because of a genetic disfigurement or horrific accident but because, as Montag explained, 'I'm obsessed'" (p. V1). Despite the discomfort this columnist displays with Montag's surgery marathon, it is not actually difficult to imagine; plastic surgery (or rumors thereof) has become cliché among actresses. The surgery was not the shocking thing, but rather the quantity in one day. As Dyens (2001) explains,

We are attracted to Hollywood stars not only because of their biological beauty (i.e., organic effectiveness) but also because of their cultural productivity. What we seek today are bodies sculpted by culture. A Hollywood star, male or female, who has had cosmetic surgery, is a cultural being, and this is what seduces us. (p. 21)

Montag has chosen to fully embrace the socially constructed norms of what ideal femininity should look like and inscribe them on her body. She constructed the ideal of the perfect body not only from her own mind, but from the media and celebrities that infiltrate our minds. Through cosmetic surgery, she has become something more than just Heidi Montag—she becomes an avatar of our cultural norms of beauty.

Scholars have long expressed concern over the media's influence on the body image of both men and women and girls and boys (Aubrey, 2007; Hargreaves & Tiggemann, 2009; Harper & Tiggemann, 2008; Jackson, Jiang, & Chen, 2017; Shields & Heinecken, 2001; Stice, Spangler, & Agras, 2001). Even one of Montag's co-stars expressed misgivings about the potential impact that Montag's actions may have on young girls:

I hope that girls don't read the article, look at the decisions that Heidi made, and think that's normal. She was quoted as saying that every celebrity in Hollywood has these procedures done, every day . . . and that's just not true. I would never want young girls to read that and think it's the standard that they need to be measured by. (Ward, 2010, p. 25)

But there *is* a standard by which everyone is held, which is continually held up in the media. Montag is not the problem, but rather the symptom. A study by Dohnt and Tiggemann (2006) found that girls as young as 5-8 years old had already internalized media messages depicting thinness as the ideal and awareness of dieting as a means of gaining that type of body. Maltby and Day (2011) found a correlation between celebrity worship and those who actually went though with cosmetic surgery. It should come as little surprise that Montag would likewise internalize the media-promoted ideal of perfection and then carve her body into the appropriate shape.

The most pressing ethical consideration for the media, then, is the recognition of the power that the industry holds in shaping culture. As Burgess (1970) explains, "the strategies and motives of any rhetoric

... represent an invitation to a life-style, an invitation to adopt a pattern of strategies and motives, verbal and nonverbal, that determine how men and women will function together in culture" (p. 120). As such, language becomes an ethical concern; "We literally speak relations into being, and fashion the world as per the logic of those articulated relations" (Anton & Zhang, 2011, p. 239-240). The media plays a part in the perpetuation of a narrow definition of beauty for both males and females. The Code of Ethics of the Society of Professional Journalists (1996) states that journalists should "minimize harm" and "Show good taste. Avoid pandering to lurid curiosity" (p. 1). This imperative to minimize harm could also be applied to societal harm. Media outlets have the potential to shape the dialogue concerning beauty into something more expansive, but barring this, perhaps the simplest ethical action that the media can take would simply be to leave the individuals who choose to undergo cosmetic surgery alone.

Society

Although there are some evolutionary traits associated with beauty (Barber, 1995), conceptions of beauty are also culturally bound. Cosmetic surgery plays a part in this construction; as Lunceford (2012) puts it, "cosmetic surgery not only reflects but creates our conceptions of what it means to be beautiful" (p. 20). Beauty is socially coded as more desirable and researchers have long observed that a host of positive traits are associated with attractive people (Dion, Berscheid, & Walster, 1972; Nisbett & Wilson, 1977; but see Eagly, Ashmore, Makhijani, & Longo, 1991). This "halo effect" can be leveraged in many ways; attractive people are seen as more intelligent (Kanazawa, 2011; Kanazawa & Kovar, 2004), healthier (Jones et al., 2001), more attractive to employers (Ruetzler, Taylor, Reynolds, Baker, & Killen, 2012; but see Johnson, Podratz, Dipboye, & Gibbons, 2010), more skilled socially (Hope & Mindell, 1994), and make better (and more distinct) first impressions (Lorenzo, Biesanz, & Human, 2010). But the benefits of physical beauty go far beyond romantic potential or career success. Garnham (2013) explains that in contemporary society, the body "becomes the surface of inscription for the choices one makes and can be read in terms of its virtue. Looking 'good' or an attractive appearance thus signifies the ethical subject" (p. 44). This link between morality and beauty is reinforced from an early age (see Baker-Sperry & Grauerholz, 2003; S. Baumann, 2008; Bazzini, Curtin, Joslin, Regan, & Martz, 2010).

Western society has pathologized the body and any perceived defect in the body can be technologically solved through drugs or surgery. Moreover, some have begun to pathologize traits that are simply racial variations (Aquino, 2017; Davis, 2003) or even fetishize these differences, as in the case of the Brazilian butt lift (Lloréns, 2013). The body in its natural state is the problem and cosmetic surgery is the proffered solution. But it is not enough to solve the problem; one must solve it more effectively than others. Montag describes this sense of competition: "Think about the industry I'm trying to go into. My ultimate dream is to be a pop star. I'm competing against the Britney Spearses of the world—and when she was in her prime, it was her sex appeal that sold. Obviously, looks matter; it's a superficial industry" (Garcia, 2010, p. 82). Beauty is a zero-sum game in which failing to measure up physically means losing out to another who has more effectively managed his or her physical appearance. Such sentiments seem consistent with Blum's (2005) assertion that "cosmetic surgery can be seen as a dramatization of the relationship between a woman and an imaginary Other Woman figure . . . who, because of some imaginary set of superior charms, entrances your partner away from you" (p. 110). Plastic surgery allows a woman to become that "other woman," which then places her in competition with the rest of the female population. This is certainly not lost on Montag, who states, "As for other women, if they aren't hating on you, then you're not doing anything right. If women aren't jealous of you, talking about you and cutting you down, then you're a nerd, and I would never want to be that" (Husted, 2009b, p. B03). Jealousy can be a powerful motivator to pursue cosmetic surgery; Arnocky, Perilloux, Cloud, Bird, and Thomas (2016) found that "appearance comparison induced significantly more envy relative to the control condition. Envy in turn significantly predicted cosmetic surgery attitudes, intended facial cosmetic use, and willingness to use diet pills" (p. 79).

The problem, of course, is that there will always be someone who has something that is better. Indeed, research by Calogero, Pina, and Sutton (2014) suggests that "intentions to pursue cosmetic surgery stem (in part) from being in a state of self-objectification—a state where women are focused on how their bodies look in the eyes of others as opposed to what their bodies can do" (p. 202). One dermatologic surgeon described people like Montag as those seeking "physical perfection to satisfy a psychological problem which cannot be helped by multiple surgeries. We as surgeons are not helping our patients by performing surgery on these people" (Stewart, 2010a, p. K). Once the body begins to be seen as malleable, with parts that are replaceable, there is no limit to what can be done. As Blum (2005) notes, "When you buy a body part for aesthetic reasons, you automatically compare yours to others who have better or worse. Even if you are pleased with a surgical result, you will see the rest of the world as so many possibilities" (p. 105).

How then should bystanders behave in relation to those undergoing cosmetic surgery? Gillon (1994) places societal issues under the category of justice prescribed by Beauchamp and Childress (2001). This makes sense, considering that justice is generally about fairness among a group of people. Rawls (1971) suggests that to determine the justice of a situation, one must approach it with a "veil of ignorance," in which one must decide how to proceed without any knowledge of which side of the transaction he or she would be on. For example, if one would find being a slave unjust, then he or she must find slavery unjust, even if they were to be a slave owner. On the other hand, one might prefer to act as pitcher in a game of baseball, but would find being put in the outfield to be fair as well, despite his or her preferences. In other words, justice does not necessarily mean that everyone would get what they want; only that all parties would find the arrangement to be fair. Gillon (1994) explains, "we should not be surprised that there will always be some people dissatisfied after justice has been done because by definition not everyone's claims can be met," but notes that "societies seek strategies to minimise the destructive effect of such choices, including tendencies to change their strategies over time" (p. 187). This seems reminiscent of Bentham's (1823) utilitarian argument that "It is the greatest happiness of the greatest number that is the measure of right and wrong" (p. vi). Although this is only one of a number of ethical frameworks, it illustrates the stakes surrounding cosmetic surgery. If the goal is to make the potential patient—and society as a whole—happier, how should one achieve that end? One issue noted by Montag is the perceived competition among individuals, especially regarding beauty. Because attraction of and competition for mates serve a biological function, it would likely be too much to ask that people reduce this competition. However, it should not be too much to ask that people are more kind to each other because, as Peterson (2011) writes, we are all vulnerable and these vulnerabilities "help us recognize our need for each other" (p. 46). At the very least, doctors should not abuse their positions of authority as medical experts to exacerbate perceived imperfections in the potential patient.

The Patient

No one exists in a vacuum, and social conceptions of beauty are created not only through exemplars, but also in comparison with others. The body that Montag inhabits has likewise read other bodies in her

search for perfection, noting that "When I was shopping for my boobs, I wanted the best, so I sat down and flipped through a bunch of Playboys" (Derakhshani, 2009, p. E02). It seems that Montag chose her breasts much as one searches for a new pair of pants in a catalog. As Blum (2005) observes, "When you don't like a body part, the rest of the world looks like an array of perfect examples of just what you lack. Moreover, once you've bought and paid for an improvement, you want the 'best'" (p. 104).

The catalog in which Montag—and many others like her—chose to browse may not actually provide the goods that she desires. After all, the pages of *Playboy* are filled with surgically and, of course, digitally enhanced breasts. She could not have been innocent of this possibility; speaking of her own experience in posing for *Playboy*, she states, "I didn't fill out one of the bras and they had to Photoshop my boobs bigger, and it was so disheartening. I almost cried" (Garcia, 2010, p. 83). In other words, she is seeking to modify her breasts in ways that may not be possible in the flesh—creating a false set of breasts from a model that is inherently false. Baudrillard (1994) would certainly find such a state amusing with his prediction of the precession of simulacra, but this also speaks to another assertion by Baudrillard (1988): "*Images have become our true sex object*, the object of our desire" (p. 35). It was not simply better breasts that she chose, but rather, *someone's* breasts, which may or may not have been that person's actual breasts. In other words, she chose the *image* of another's breasts. Thus, her statement, "I'm very excited for the world to see the new me, and a real me" (Garcia, 2010, p. 84), seems particularly ironic.

But Montag is not only concerned about the world in abstract, but also seems to crave her husband's approval. Davis and Vernon (2002) suggest a connection between attachment anxiety and cosmetic surgery, stating that "although there are many motives to improve appearance, fear of rejection or loss of a current spouse or lover is clearly among them" (p. 136). This seems particularly evident in Montag's expressed concerns that her husband would not find her sexy. Montag states that after coming home from surgery, "I felt bad that he had to even look at me" (Garcia, 2010, p. 86). When asked if the recovery process tested their relationship, Montag replied, "Asking my husband to take down my pants so that I can go to the bathroom? That's not something I ever wanted to have to do. I mean, you want your husband to look at you and feel sexy, not have him waiting on you hand and foot, feeling like you don't want him to look at you," but concedes that "it took our marriage to another level" (Garcia, 2010, p. 86-88). Montag's story reminded me of when my wife and I came home from the hospital after she gave birth to our son. I recognized that there were some things that she would not be able to do and I did them because our relationship is based on more than just her physical attractiveness. The body can be damaged and must have the opportunity to heal itself; this is a luxury that Montag seems unwilling to give herself. But if one considers the base of the relationship as looking sexy, then he or she must always guard against someone better looking. There is no time for recovery.

The second assumption present in Montag's comments is, perhaps more troubling: that a woman's looks are her most important attribute. In the image-hungry entertainment industry, however, this may be taken as a given. In response to the question, "Does it worry you that people will fixate on your breasts?" Montag responded, "I hope so. They better! That's kind of the point" (Garcia, 2010, p. 83-84). Even so, she pulls back from this slightly, adding, "Sex appeal is really important and it's not saying that you're only sexy if you have big boobs. That's not true at all, and honestly the way I got Spencer, I had no surgery. It was my inner beauty that he loved" (Garcia, 2010, p. 84).

Montag seems to view her body as a set of individual components rather than holistically. Blum (2005) relates a similar impulse in her interviews:

Grabbing a magazine from a nearby table, she pointed to the supermodel on the cover and exclaimed, "Ooh, I love that nose, I want that nose." I ask her why. "It's straight. It's straight and thin. Not the cheekbones. I have the cheekbones. I love the tip—well, I don't know," she said, standing back now, assuming more aesthetic distance, "it's still not thin enough." (p. 104)

When one can deconstruct and reconstruct the body in such a way, it invites a view that the body is no more than the sum of its parts. This can be problematic, if not from an ethical sense, from an aesthetic sense. What works well on one body may not work as well on another. Yet there are deeper underlying concerns that emerge from taking a fragmentary view of the body, specifically the question of when is enough enough? When can one stop altering the body? What parts are acceptable to alter and in what ways? What happens to the sense of the self when one has one person's nose and another person's eyebrows? Most importantly, what happens to our conception of beauty when everybody is able to look the same?

From an ethical standpoint, the potential patient has a moral obligation to him or herself. Recall that one of the ethical principles proposed by Beauchamp and Childress (2001) was respect for the patient's autonomy. Yet Draper and Sorell (2002) explain that "if autonomy in medical ethics is to mean the same as in general ethics—and surely it is supposed to—autonomy must go hand in hand with taking responsibility for what is chosen" (p. 338). The patient is the final arbiter concerning his or her actions. However, the ethical responsibilities do not end with the patient alone. Sider and Clements (1984) argue that "an ethical obligation for health is a fundamental constituent of human morality" and that "we owe our health to ourselves as well as to others" (p. 10). The patient's choices do not affect only him or her, but also family, friends, and others. Surgeries carry risk, and some have become injured (Rajabi et al. 2015; Wimalawansa, Fox, & Johnson, 2014) or even died in the pursuit of beauty (de Casanova & Sutton, 2013; Jiang, Liu, & Chen, 2014). Montag herself notes that there were lasting detrimental effects that she had not anticipated (Furtado, 2010a, p. C4). As such, the patient cannot ethically take a solipsistic view in which his or her own desire for surgical alteration is the measure of all things.

Coda: The Aftermath of Montag's Surgeries

Although Montag was rather positive when she had her surgeries, she later presented a more nuanced view of her quest for physical enhancement. For example, nine months after her barrage of surgeries, she decided that she wanted to have her implants removed and downgraded to a smaller size because of back pain. "I'm desperate to go back to normal," Montag said; "I feel trapped in my own body" (Gillin, 2010, p. 2B). She explains that the implants had also begun to malfunction, necessitating their removal: "My implants were falling through. They were three pounds each so I was really miserable. Obviously, I didn't want to go back into surgery, but it was really necessary" ("Heidi Pratt's Secret Trauma," 2014).

Montag's buyer's remorse went well beyond her enlarged breasts, however. Her biggest regret was not her breasts, but rather her chin. She explains that "I have TMJ now and my jaw hurts. I don't think people do tell you the trauma of what you're going to heal from. I was in so much pain, I actually thought I was going to die" ("Heidi Pratt's Secret Trauma," 2014). She also laments that "Parts of my body definitely look worse than they did pre-surgery," and provides a laundry list of what went wrong, including a

two-inch-long blemish under her chin from her chin reduction, two caterpillar-sized bald spots along her hairline from a brow lift, a horrifying jagged line behind her ears from having her ears pinned back, lumpy legs and four spots left on her lower back and below the buttocks from botched liposuction, a

bright red mark inside her right nostril, uneven boobs, a stretched mark on her chest and deep scars around her nipples from a second boob job. (Furtado, 2010a, p. C4)

She also describes the physical toll that surgery exacts on the body: "People have fewer scars from accidents than I have on my body," concluding, "I wish I could jump into a time machine and take it all back. Instead, I'm always going to feel like Edward Scissorhands" (Furtado, 2010b, C16). Montag's husband, Spencer Pratt, echoes this sentiment: "I would definitely say [to] women and men who think there's such a thing as a minor surgical procedure, the second someone is hacking your body it no longer becomes minor surgery" (Ng, 2016). Still, although she's reluctant to say that she regrets the surgeries because "I'm trying not to regret things in my life because that can be a dark path to go down," she concludes, "But I certainly wouldn't do it again and I certainly wouldn't recommend it and I have learned a lot from it and I just want to move forward in a positive way" (Ng, 2016).

Some have suggested that Montag's decision is a symptom of a larger problem. "She has had a lifetime of cosmetic plastic surgery in two years," says plastic surgeon John Di Saia. "It is quite possible that the woman needs some professional help" (Stewart, 2010a, p. Arts K). Montag provides some evidence for this standpoint when she states, "I disliked myself so much. I literally chopped up my own body" ("Heidi Pratt's Secret Trauma," 2014). More recently, she reaffirmed how her mindset influenced her decision to undergo surgery: "It was the hardest time of my life and I feel like I've become a lot stronger from it. And [it] made me look at myself and reflect, 'Why did I do that?' . . . Maybe I needed to have more confidence and be more secure in who I was and not thinking so much about my eyebrows or my this or that" (Ng, 2016). Research has suggested a link between individual conceptions of beauty and willingness to undergo cosmetic surgery. Tylka and Iannantuono (2016) found that a broad conceptualization of beauty for both self and others "was positively related to self-compassion and positive body image quality of life, and inversely related to social comparisons (body, eating, and exercise), anti-fat attitudes, thin-ideal internalization, body surveillance, and contemplation of cosmetic surgery" (p. 78).

In the case of elective cosmetic surgery, it seems prudent to explore with the patient the underlying reasons for surgery. As Zuckerman and Abraham (2008) suggest, "Many girls and women seeking cosmetic surgery might benefit more from therapeutic approaches aimed at improving self-esteem or general body image or those aimed at decreasing depression" (p. 321). This may require a deeper analysis than the surgeon is able to make and in such cases psychiatric evaluation may be warranted (Ericksen & Billick, 2012). This is essential because those who suffer from Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) are unlikely to be satisfied with any surgical intervention. One study found that despite expressing satisfaction concerning the surgery, "only 1 patient no longer had a BDD diagnosis at follow-up: all the other operated patients still had a BDD diagnosis and all but 1 had developed a new site of preoccupation" (Tignol, Biraben-Gotzamanis, Martin-Guehl, Grabot, & Aouizerate, 2007, p. 523). If the aim is beneficence, then cosmetic surgery misses the mark entirely for some patients. Following the principle of beneficence suggests that the least invasive procedure should be attempted first, especially in cases in which the tissue to be altered is healthy and functional. The willingness and desire to undergo ten procedures in one day should be a red flag for any medical practitioner.

But Montag's surgeries were not merely the work of a random woman who wanted to compulsively sculpt her body to her own desires or to please others around her. These surgeries were reported extensively in the media. Some have expressed concern over how such surgeries will influence young people. Diana Zuckerman, president of the National Research Center for Women & Families, put it this way:

Most actresses are already beautiful... Then they get surgery to be "more perfect." Then their photos are enhanced to make them look even more beautiful. Then real people—including teens—see these women and these photos and feel terrible because they can't possibly measure up. Then they get plastic surgery, and other "real people" feel badly about their own imperfections in comparison. For this reason, what actresses do really matters. (Cassidy, 2010, p. G1)

As stated above, these images may not even be real when they finally get to the mass media because of the prevalence of photo retouching and alteration. However, some concerns about the effects on adolescents may be somewhat overstated, considering that Theran, Newberg, and Gleason (2010) found only mild attachments in their parasocial interactions with media figures. Still, others (e.g., Brown, Halpern, & L'Engle, 2005) found evidence that adolescent girls take some behavior cues from mass media, especially in relation to sexuality. These parasocial interactions are important because Singh (2015) suggests that there are two impulses leading teenagers to cosmetic surgery: peer group conformity and actual medical problems. If adolescent girls see these women as part of their peer group, this could shift attitudes toward the normalization of cosmetic surgery. Perhaps attitudes have already shifted; Cassidy (2010) reports that "liposuction or breast augmentation have come into vogue as high school graduation presents. But it's not unheard of for 18-year-olds [in rural Pennsylvania] to get new breasts as a graduation gift—or in one [case], as an 18th birthday present" (p. G1).

PROPOSALS FOR ETHICAL COSMETIC SURGERY PRACTICE

The fact that Montag could undergo ten different plastic surgery procedures in one day raises the question of how much is too much. But the ethics of cosmetic surgery transcend any one instance. As I have argued, there is an entire system that must be considered when determining the ethics of cosmetic surgery, especially as the profession has become much more aggressive in its advertising and advocacy through television shows such as *Nip/Tuck*, *Extreme Makeover*, and *The Swan* (see Heyes, 2007; Sender, 2014). This normalization of cosmetic surgery has even seeped into children's picture books (Abate, 2010)! As such, one cannot change this system by simply changing the code of ethics for cosmetic surgeons. This is where a technoethical approach can help elucidate the various pressures that come to bear on the individuals involved in cosmetic surgery. As Luppicini (2009a) explains, technoethical inquiry is "a call for a systems study of the interweaving of technology with human agency within contemporary life and society" (p.19). Montag's surgeries illustrate the different stakeholders in defining beauty: the cosmetic surgeons, the mass media, the individuals who choose to undergo such procedures, and, finally, those around each of us who judge the appearance of others. In order to make ethical judgments, one must consider the entire system. To conclude, I will consider some possible, if quixotic, solutions.

In the case of cosmetic surgery, the aesthetic, ethical, and financial are bound together; Martínez Lirola and Chovanec (2012) explain that

The surgically enhanced body is (1) the key to women's self-esteem, self-confidence and physical perfection, (2) the target of male voyeuristic desire and (3) the medium through which cosmetic surgery providers are able to generate their profit. (p. 503).

This combination creates a significant financial conflict of interest; it's good business to agree with an individual's perceived imperfections. Thus, at the very least, medical practitioners should heed Kant's (1994) demand that one should "Act in such a way that you treat humanity, whether in your own person or in the person of another, always at the same time as an end and never simply as a means" (p. 36). But following the framework proposed by Beauchamp and Childress (2001) of autonomy, nonmaleficence, beneficence, and justice should go beyond the cosmetic surgery practitioners and implications for each individual patient, and also consider the implications for society as a whole. Such an approach on ethics goes well beyond the moment when the patient is placed under anesthesia, and reaches into practices such as advertising, media appearances, informational literature, and counseling. It is not enough to say that surgically modifying an individual into a shape applauded by society counts for beneficence without considering one's role in creating those very ideals.

It seems clear that cosmetic surgery can have positive outcomes in self-perception and behavior, but one must take care to not overstate the positive outcomes, as a meta-analysis of 22 studies by Cook, Rosser, and Salmon (2006) found that with the exception of breast reduction surgery, there was little evidence of increased quality of life after surgery. Moreover, there are the intervening issues of who actually seeks such surgery and the potential long-term effects. Hosseini, Shahgholian, and Abdollahi (2015) found that those who sought cosmetic surgery were less psychologically hardy and more likely to have a negative self-perception. However, Von Soest, Kvalem, Roald, and Skolleborg (2009) found that body image evaluation and self-esteem scores improved after cosmetic surgery and Meningaud et al. (2003) found improvement in anxiety in patients following cosmetic surgery, but notes that those seeking cosmetic surgery were "more anxious" and "more depressed than the general population" (p. 48). On the other hand, von Soest, Kvalem, Skolleborg, and Roald (2009) question whether the increase in extraversion induced by cosmetic surgery "may be due to short-term changes in attitude towards one's own appearance, which in itself serves to legitimate the decision to have undergone cosmetic surgery. Such effects may well diminish over time" (p. 1024-1025).

Perhaps there needs to be some shift in how cosmetic surgeons view their practice; some seem to see themselves more as artists than as doctors. As Baker (2004) put it, "There are those who advocate analysis based on complex measurements to determine what implant shape or size is most desirable. I prefer to use my aesthetic sense when trying to provide balance to the patient's form" (p. 565). However, Henseler et al. (2013) found that "subjective breast assessment, even when it was conducted by experts, lacked accuracy and reproducibility" and advocated the use of digital imaging in breast implant surgery (p. 639). There is a chasm of difference between a cosmetologist and a cosmetic surgeon and taking the aesthetic stance can allow surgeons to overlook ethical considerations of the power that they wield. As Luppicini (2009b) observes, "Technoethics recognizes that there are important ethical considerations when addressing the conduct of an individual with or without a specific technology" (p. 3). When a cosmetic surgeon has the technology to permanently alter one's appearance, he or she should be held to a higher ethical standard than the aesthetician.

Finally, cosmetic surgeons must take care to avoid inflicting harm through their advertising practices. In her discussion of cosmetic labiaplasty, Hardwick-Smith (2011) argues,

Whether communicating verbally or through patient literature or Websites, we should avoid using statements that imply judgment. Should we offer to create a "more beautiful" or "more youthful" appearance by making the labia smaller? Even terms such as "enlarged labia" or "labial hypertrophy" can be viewed as judgmental. Simply calling these procedures "cosmetic vaginal surgery" minimizes

misunderstanding and avoids the use of clichés that are more appropriate for over-the-counter makeup sales. The Ob/Gyn doctor is seen by many as the patient's most trusted physician. This gives us an added ethical responsibility. (p. 110)

There is a fine line between promoting one's practice and contributing to the posthumanist idea that the body is intrinsically flawed and in need of surgical intervention. Researchers have noted that there is a correlation between media exposure of depictions of cosmetic surgery and contemplating surgery (Slevec & Tiggemann, 2010). Some have argued that advertisements for cosmetic surgery should be controlled (e.g., Clarke, Drake, Flatt, & Jebb, 2008), but this poses a practical problem of who is to do so. At the very least, advertisements should be ethical, but a content analysis of print advertisements for cosmetic surgeons conducted by Hennink-Kaminski, Reid, and King (2010) found some highly questionable practices, such as ignoring potential risks and side effects and using language that may go against AMA ethical guidelines. Another study by Spilson, Chung, Greenfield, and Walters (2002) also found a significant number of advertisements that were misleading and in violation of the code of ethics of the American Society of Plastic Surgeons, but note that "because such societies are not meant to police all advertisements, discretion is left up to the physician" (p. 1186). Perhaps it is time for more stringent oversight.

In the case of the media, scholars have argued against the unrealistic body types promulgated through the photoshopping of body images (e.g, Reaves, Hitchon, Park, & Yun 2004a, 2004b; Selimbegović & Chatard, 2015). This seems to be a good start, as these norms are internalized even when such bodies are physically impossible. However, there is a more general sense in which the media helps to define norms of beauty. When asked why large breasts were desirable, one woman, who had received breast implants as a high school graduation present, stated,

I would think the media, I mean it's just so, so like stereotypical of what a perfect woman is. You know, big boobs, really skinny, looks like specific celebrities, models and everything. . . . I mean if everybody was fat and had no boobs then I probably wouldn't have wanted them, but if that's the way we grew up, the society you grow up in, you want to look a specific way. Like you would like to have a small waist with a bigger chest. I mean I'm sure that's our American culture in general. (Fowler & Moore, 2012, p. 114)

Perhaps it is too much to ask television, film, and other media to embrace a wider definition of beauty, but as I have explained above, the media plays a large part in defining conceptions of beauty and attitudes toward cosmetic surgery, which is often coded as Caucasian and Western, even in outlets geared toward non-Western, non-Caucasian audiences (see Jung & Lee, 2009).

In the case of the individual who is contemplating cosmetic surgeries, we should change the narrative of how surgery is discussed. For example, in her discussion of the television show *Extreme Makeover*, Heyes (2007) suggests that "electing to have surgery makes one a go-getter, for example, someone who takes charge, not flinching at the prospect of pain, inconvenience, trauma, or risk," while also noting that "resistance to cosmetic surgery is tacitly rendered as a lack of character, and thus can be construed (like resistance to wearing make-up or high heels in an earlier feminist era) only as a failure to make the best of oneself" (p. 28). In short, we must stop considering the possible as equivalent to the inevitable. The desire to compete in the genetic competition of life has resulted in a kind of aesthetic arms race.

As Berman (2010) states, "In previous generations, when women wanted to increase their sex appeal, they turned to Chanel No. 5 and red lipstick. Today, women turn to potentially life-threatening surgeries along with monthly injections of Botox" (p. C4). This impulse to alter the body through surgery is by no means new, of course. Comiskey (2004) states that as cosmetic surgery began to be practiced in the 1920s, medical professionals "defended cosmetic surgery as a noble profession, arguing that it was necessary because of the social importance of beauty in the brutal struggle for existence, particularly for women" (p. 32).

I am not advocating here for a kind of cosmetic surgery shaming, but rather a shift in self-talk concerning beauty. Some procedures seem geared solely to recreate a Western view of beauty, as in the case of blepharoplasty (double eyelid surgery) (Aquino, 2017; Motaparthi, 2010). Activists have long railed against the prevailing Eurocentric model of beauty. Stokely Carmichael (2007), for example, proclaimed that

Beauty in this society is defined by someone with a narrow nose, thin lips, white skin. You ain't got none of that...Can you begin to get the guts to develop a criteria for beauty for black people? Your nose is boss, your lips are thick, you are black, and you are beautiful. Can you begin to do it so that you are not ashamed of your hair and you don't cut it down to the scalp so that naps won't show? Girls are you ready? Obviously it is your responsibility to begin to define the criteria for black people concerning their beauty. ("At Morgan State")

Although Carmichael was arguing for a re-definition of beauty rooted in the realities of African-American bodies, his argument applies to all individuals who do not fit neatly into the media-promulgated norms of beauty.

One should be clear concerning why they seek the desired cosmetic surgery. For example, breast augmentation is relatively common, but why do women choose to undergo this procedure? For some, there is a self-consciousness of the surveillance that we all endure—the fear that we will be assessed and found wanting. As one woman put it, "Well, no one's going to look at me because I'm flat as a board" (Gagné and McGaughey, 2002, p. 823). Another described her self-consciousness around her husband concerning her breasts: "We'd be in bed and ... he'd start to put his arm around me ... I'd be thinking, please don't touch my breasts ... I couldn't even bear for his hand to be, like, on my waist ... I'd just keep moving ... [his arm] down to my hips" (Gimlin, 2006, p. 711). But beauty is in the eye of the beholder and many men may prefer small breasts (Furnham & Swami, 2007) or simply be more interested in other body attributes (Dixson, Grimshaw, Linklater, & Dixson, 2011; Wiggins, Wiggins, & Conger, 1968). Frederick, Peplau, and Lever (2008) likewise found that "Although most women in our sample were dissatisfied with their breasts, a majority of men were satisfied with their partner's breasts," a finding that they attribute to overestimating the preferences of the opposite sex (p. 209). In other words, there are many forms of desirability.

But desirability is not the only impulse toward cosmetic surgery. Gimlin (2006) notes that "women who have aesthetic procedures rarely do so with the expectation of becoming beautiful," but rather "have cosmetic surgery in the hope of becoming 'normal'" (p. 711). Some respondents echoed this stance: "I did not want to be too big, that was my biggest thing. I wanted to look natural" (Fowler & Moore, 2012, p. 113). Another said, "I do remember when I had made the decision to have the implants, I didn't want

them real big and I wanted them to look like they were mine. Like this is what I grew" (Gagné and McGaughey, 2002, p. 827). But what constitutes normality? There is a broad range of body shapes and types and simply conforming to the average means little from an aesthetic sense. Some have attempted to challenge this homogenization of body types through artistic interventions, such as The Great Wall of Vagina (n.d.), Normal Breast Gallery (2017), and The Shape of a Mother (2016).

Finally, individuals living together in society must change the dialogue surrounding beauty. Humans are judgmental and driven partially by biological imperatives. In seeking a mate, men tend to seek women who embody fertility and health, while women tend to seek men who exhibit status and power, thereby ensuring that they would be able to provide for their offspring (Buss & Schmitt, 1993; Thornhill & Gangestad, 1996), although women are also attuned to male physical attractiveness, especially physical symmetry (Gallup, Frederick, & Pipitone, 2008; Manning, Scutt, & Lewis-Jones, 1998). Moreover, there are beauty standards that transcend culture, such as smooth skin and body symmetry (Fink & Neave, 2005, but see also Grammer, Fink, Møller, & Thornhill, 2003), but within these parameters there is still a range of beauty, some of which is culturally bound (de Casanova, 2004; Sugiyama, 2004). Embracing these differences would be a more ethical stance.

Still, one must allow individuals to make their own choices concerning their bodies. One would think that individuals who had already gone under the knife would have some sympathy for others who chose a similar path, but this does not seem to be the case. For example, one of Gimlin's (2010) participants, who had already had an abdominoplasty, said: "I'm not obsessed about the way I look like some women who have cosmetic surgery. I know that other things matter more . . . my job, my family, my health. These are much more important to me than my appearance" (p. 64). Part of this impulse, Gimlin explains, comes from the mental construction of the "surgical other," who is

motivated by vanity rather than need. In particular, they suggested that whatever she had altered did not really require changing: her breasts were not actually too small; her nose was not really too big; she was not sufficiently overweight to require liposuction. The surgical other is thus presented as being excessively, even obsessively, concerned with minute and inconsequential physical flaws. (Gimlin, 2010, p. 66)

In this way, they are able to maintain some psychological distance while still justifying their own actions. Those who had undergone cosmetic surgery seemed to view those who had breast implants with derision, as if some forms of cosmetic surgery were more acceptable than others. One woman states that she "didn't want a boob job surgeon doing my face." When pressed for clarification on the term "boob job surgeon," she responded, "Um, fast bucks. Flashy. Little concern for the patient. Fast turnaround. They're dealing with clientele that has a different kind of lifestyle, different kind of work ethic than I have" (Gimlin, 2010, p. 68).

Each individual must work within the confines of his or her own circumstances and this includes societal imperatives. Gagné and McGaughey (2002) suggest that "Women electing cosmetic mammoplasty exercise agency, but they do so within the confines of hegemonic gender norms" and that "women are complicitous in disciplining themselves and one another" (p. 835). This brings us full circle to the system of influences surrounding cosmetic surgery. It is impossible to reduce something as complex as the decision to undergo elective surgery to the patient, the surgeon, other individuals, or the media/culture industries. One must look at all of them together.

CONCLUSION

Montag's case provides a cautionary example of how this system plays out and the ethical failures in each dimension. Despite the misgivings of other cosmetic surgeons, there will always be those willing to push the limits of what is possible, performing an extraordinary amount of procedures at one time. This came at a significant financial and personal cost for Montag, whose low self-opinion likely helped to lead her to the operating table. She had fully bought into the idea that her looks were of primary importance and what constituted her value. But she did not come to this conclusion alone. She had internalized a media landscape that celebrates the kind of body that she chose to construct for herself. However, she did so in a way that compartmentalized each individual body part, giving her the breasts of one woman, the nose of another, and eventually resulting in a kind of embodied pastiche of popular culture. This was a consequence of rushing to perform as many surgeries as possible; it was not possible to see how each component would look in relation to the others. As a result, others shamed her for her aesthetic choices while at the same time watching her every move.

Perhaps leaving the spotlight allowed her to reveal her regrets surrounding her surgeries, but these regrets are not hers alone. As Lunceford (2008) explains, "norms are held in place not by a nebulous system, but by each of us" (p. 325). Those who buy into contemporary standards of beauty, those who encourage or engage in cosmetic surgery as a means of self-improvement, those who perform or advertise cosmetic surgery, and those who disparage another's perceived physical imperfections are all part of the system that helped create Heidi Montag.

REFERENCES

Abate, M. A. (2010). "Plastic makes perfect": My beautiful mommy, cosmetic surgery, and the medicalization of motherhood. *Women's Studies*, 39(7), 715–746. doi:10.1080/00497878.2010.505152

Anton, C., & Zhang, P. (2011). Syntax and ethics: A conversation. *Etc.*; a Review of General Semantics, 68(3), 238–254.

Aquino, Y. S. J. (2017). "Big eye" surgery: The ethics of medicalizing Asian features. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics*, 38(3), 213–225. doi:10.100711017-017-9395-y PMID:28105531

Arendt, H. (2006). Eichmann in Jerusalem: A report on the banality of evil. New York: Penguin Books.

Arnocky, S., Perilloux, C., Cloud, J. M., Bird, B. M., & Thomas, K. (2016). Envy mediates the link between social comparison and appearance enhancement in women. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 2(2), 71–83. doi:10.100740806-015-0037-1

Aubrey, J. S. (2007). The impact of sexually objectifying media exposure on negative body emotions and sexual self-perceptions: Investigating the mediating role of body self-consciousness. *Mass Communication & Society*, 10(1), 1–23. doi:10.1080/15205430709337002

Babcock, S. (2010, February 20). Plastic front creates poor "perfection." Spokesman Review, p. V1.

Baker, J. L. (2004). Choosing breast implant size: A matter of aesthetics. *Aesthetic Surgery Journal*, 24(6), 565–566. doi:10.1016/j.asj.2004.09.009 PMID:19336211

Baker-Sperry, L., & Grauerholz, L. (2003). The pervasiveness and persistence of the feminine beauty ideal in children's fairy tales. *Gender & Society*, 17(5), 711–726. doi:10.1177/0891243203255605

Balkany, T., Hodges, A. V., & Goodman, K. W. (1996). Ethics of cochlear implantation in young children. *Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, 114(6), 748–755. doi:10.1016/S0194-5998(96)70097-9 PMID:8643298

Barber, N. (1995). The evolutionary psychology of physical attractiveness: Sexual selection and human morphology. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, *16*(5), 395–424. doi:10.1016/0162-3095(95)00068-2

Baudrillard, J. (1988). The ecstasy of communication (B. Schutze & C. Schutze, Trans.). New York: Semiotext(e).

Baudrillard, J. (1994). *Simulacra and simulation* (S. F. Glaser, Trans.). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Baumann, L. (2012). Ethics in cosmetic dermatology. *Clinics in Dermatology*, 30(5), 522–527. doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2011.06.023 PMID:22902224

Baumann, S. (2008). The moral underpinnings of beauty: A meaning-based explanation for light and dark complexions in advertising. *Poetics*, *36*(1), 2–23. doi:10.1016/j.poetic.2007.11.002

Bazzini, D., Curtin, L., Joslin, S., Regan, S., & Martz, D. (2010). Do animated Disney characters portray and promote the beauty-goodness stereotype? *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 40(10), 2687–2709. doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.2010.00676.x

Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2001). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Bentham, J. (1823). A Fragment on Government; Or a Comment on the Commentaries: Being an Examination of What is Delivered on the Subject of Government in General, in the Introduction to Sir William Blackstone's Commentaries: With a Preface. In *Which is Given a Critique on the Work at Large* (2nd ed.). London: E. Wilson and W. Pickering.

Berman, L. (2010, February 3). Heidi Montag throws a curve at young girls; Obsession with "perfection" reinforces women's needless body insecurities. Chicago Sun Times, p. C4.

Berry, M. G., Cucchiara, V., & Davies, D. M. (2011). Breast augmentation: Part III—preoperative considerations and planning. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 64(11), 1401–1409.

Blum, V. L. (2003). Flesh wounds: The culture of cosmetic surgery. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Blum, V. L. (2005). Becoming the other woman: The psychic drama of cosmetic surgery. *Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies*, 26(2), 104-131.

Bordo, S. (1993). *Unbearable weight: Feminism, Western culture, and the body*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Brown, J. D., Halpern, C. T., & L'Engle, K. L. (2005). Mass media as a sexual super peer for early maturing girls. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*, *36*(5), 420–427. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.06.003 PMID:15837346

Bunge, M. (1975). Towards a technoethics. *Philosophic Exchange*, 6(1), 69–79.

Burgess, P. G. (1970). The rhetoric of moral conflict: Two critical dimensions. *The Quarterly Journal of Speech*, *56*(2), 120–130. doi:10.1080/00335637009382993

Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (1993). Sexual strategies theory: An evolutionary perspective on human mating. *Psychological Review*, 100(2), 204–232. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.100.2.204 PMID:8483982

Calogero, R. M., Pina, A., & Sutton, R. M. (2014). Cutting words: Priming self-objectification increases women's intention to pursue cosmetic surgery. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *38*(2), 197–207. doi:10.1177/0361684313506881

Camilli, D. (2010, April 24). Montag is a "cheap, plastic pool float" after surgery. *The Gazette (Montreal)*, p. E5.

Cantor, J. (2005). Cosmetic dermatology and physicians' ethical obligations: More than just hope in a jar. *Seminars in Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery*, 24(3), 155–160. doi:10.1016/j.sder.2005.04.005 PMID:16202953

Carmichael, (Kwame Ture) S. (1971). *Stokely speaks: Black power back to pan-Africanism* [Enki version]. New York: Random House.

Cartwright, R., & Cardozo, L. (2008). Cosmetic vulvovaginal surgery. *Obstetrics, Gynaecology and Reproductive Medicine*, 18(10), 285–286. doi:10.1016/j.ogrm.2008.07.008

Cassidy, S. (2010, January 24). Teens, young adults cut into cosmetic-surgery statistics; Defining beauty breast wishes parental pressure? For the sport of it males do it, too. *Sunday News*, p. G1.

Chan, J. K.-K., Jones, S. M., & Heywood, A. J. (2011). Body dysmorphia, self-mutilation and the reconstructive surgeon. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 64(1), 4–8. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2010.03.029 PMID:20392680

Carmichael (Kwame Ture), S. (2007). Stokely speaks: From black power to pan-Africanism. Chicago Review Press.

Clarke, J., Drake, L., Flatt, S., & Jebb, P. (2008). Physical perfection for sale. *Nursing Standard*, 23(8), 26–27. PMID:18655503

Clemens, D. (1985). Technoethics. *Informal Logic*, 7(2&3), 163–165.

Comiskey, C. (2004). Cosmetic surgery in Paris in 1926: The case of the amputated leg. *Journal of Women's History*, 16(3), 30–54. doi:10.1353/jowh.2004.0059

Cook, S. A., Rosser, R., & Salmon, P. (2006). Is cosmetic surgery an effective psychotherapeutic intervention? A systematic review of the evidence. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, *59*(11), 1133–1151. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2006.03.047 PMID:17046622

Davis, D., & Vernon, M. L. (2002). Sculpting the body beautiful: Attachment style, neuroticism, and use of cosmetic surgeries. *Sex Roles*, 47(3/4), 129–138. doi:10.1023/A:1021043021624

Davis, K. (2003). Surgical passing: Or why Michael Jackson's nose makes "us" uneasy. *Feminist Theory*, 4(1), 73–92. doi:10.1177/1464700103004001004

de Andrade, D. D. (2010). On norms and bodies: Findings from field research on cosmetic surgery in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Reproductive Health Matters*, *18*(35), 74–83. doi:10.1016/S0968-8080(10)35519-4 PMID:20541086

de Casanova, E. M. (2004). "No ugly women": Concepts of race and beauty among adolescent women in Ecuador. *Gender & Society*, 18(3), 287–308. doi:10.1177/0891243204263351

de Casanova, E. M., & Sutton, B. (2013). Transnational body projects: Media representations of cosmetic surgery tourism in Argentina and the United States. *Journal of World-systems Research*, 19(1), 57–81. doi:10.5195/JWSR.2013.509

De Roubaix, J. A. M. (2011). Beneficence, non-maleficence, distributive justice and respect for patient autonomy—Reconcilable ends in aesthetic surgery? *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 64(1), 11–16. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2010.03.034 PMID:20457018

Derakhshani, T. (2009, August 13). Sideshow: Low-key farewell to Hughes. *Philadelphia Inquirer*, p. E02.

Dion, K., Berscheid, E., & Walster, E. (1972). What is beautiful is good. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 24(3), 285–290. doi:10.1037/h0033731 PMID:4655540

Dixson, B., Grimshaw, G., Linklater, W., & Dixson, A. (2011). Eye-tracking of men's preferences for waist-to-hip ratio and breast size of women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 40(1), 43–50. doi:10.100710508-009-9523-5 PMID:19688590

Dohnt, H., & Tiggemann, M. (2006). Body image concerns in young girls: The role of peers and media prior to adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *35*(2), 135–145. doi:10.100710964-005-9020-7

Draper, H., & Sorell, T. (2002). Patients' responsibilities in medical ethics. *Bioethics*, 16(4), 335–352. doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00292 PMID:12956177

Dyens, O. (2001). *Metal and flesh: The evolution of man: Technology takes over*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Eagly, A. H., Ashmore, R. D., Makhijani, M. G., & Longo, L. C. (1991). What is beautiful is good, but...: A meta-analytic review of research on the physical attractiveness stereotype. *Psychological Bulletin*, *110*(1), 109–128. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.110.1.109

Ericksen, W. L., & Billick, S. B. (2012). Psychiatric issues in cosmetic plastic surgery. *The Psychiatric Quarterly*, 83(3), 343–352. doi:10.100711126-012-9204-8 PMID:22252848

Fink, B., & Neave, N. (2005). The biology of facial beauty. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 27(6), 317–325. doi:10.1111/j.1467-2494.2005.00286.x PMID:18492169

Fowler, L. A., & Moore, A. R. (2012). Breast implants for graduation: A sociological examination of daughter and mother narratives. *Sociology Mind*, 2(1), 109–115. doi:10.4236m.2012.21014

Frederick, D. A., Peplau, A., & Lever, J. (2008). The Barbie mystique: Satisfaction with breast size and shape across the lifespan. *International Journal of Sexual Health*, 20(3), 200–211. doi:10.1080/19317610802240170

Furnham, A., & Swami, V. (2007). Perception of female buttocks and breast size in profile. *Social Behavior and Personality*, *35*(1), 1–7. doi:10.2224bp.2007.35.1.1

Furtado, M. (2010a, December 24). Heidi Montag exposes her numerous plastic surgery battle wounds. *The Vancouver Sun (British Columbia)*, p. C4.

Furtado, M. (2010b, December 27). Heidi Montag regrets her cosmetic surgery. *The Daily Gleaner (New Brunswick)*, p. C16.

Gagné, P., & McGaughey, D. (2002). Designing women: Cultural hegemony and the exercise of power among women who have undergone elective mammoplasty. *Gender & Society*, 16(6), 814–838. doi:10.1177/089124302237890

Gallup, G. G. Jr, Frederick, M. J., & Pipitone, R. N. (2008). Morphology and behavior: Phrenology revisited. *Review of General Psychology*, 12(3), 297–304. doi:10.1037/1089-2680.12.3.297

Garcia, J. (2010, January 25). Obsessed with being "perfect.". People, 73, 80–88.

Garnham, B. (2013). Designing "older" rather than denying ageing: Problematizing anti-ageing discourse in relation to cosmetic surgery undertaken by older people. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 27(1), 38–46. doi:10.1016/j.jaging.2012.11.001 PMID:23273555

Gillin, J. (2010, August 26). Montag wants implants out. St. Petersburg Times, p. 2B.

Gillon, R. (1994). Medical ethics: Four principles plus attention to scope. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 309(6948), 184–188. doi:10.1136/bmj.309.6948.184 PMID:8044100

Gimlin, D. (2006). The absent body project: Cosmetic surgery as a response to bodily dys-appearance. *Sociology*, 40(4), 699–716. doi:10.1177/0038038506065156

Gimlin, D. (2010). Imagining the other in cosmetic surgery. *Body & Society*, 16(4), 57–76. doi:10.1177/1357034X10383881

Grammer, K., Fink, B., Møller, A. P., & Thornhill, R. (2003). Darwinian aesthetics: Sexual selection and the biology of beauty. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 78(3), 385–407. doi:10.1017/S1464793102006085 PMID:14558590

Gupta, S. (2012). Ethical and legal issues in aesthetic surgery. *Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery: Official Publication of the Association of Plastic Surgeons of India*, 45(3), 547–549. doi:10.4103/0970-0358.105973 PMID:23450235

Hardwick-Smith, S. (2011). Examining the controversy in aesthetic vaginal surgery. *The American Journal of Cosmetic Surgery*, 28(3), 106–113. doi:10.5992/0748-8068-28.3.106

Hargreaves, D. A., & Tiggemann, M. (2009). Muscular ideal media images and men's body image: Social comparison processing and individual vulnerability. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 10(2), 109–119. doi:10.1037/a0014691

Harper, B., & Tiggemann, M. (2008). The effect of thin ideal media images on women's self-objectification, mood, and body image. *Sex Roles*, 58(9), 649–657. doi:10.100711199-007-9379-x

Harris, D. L., & Carr, A. T. (2001). The Derriford appearance scale (DAS59): A new psychometric scale for the evaluation of patients with disfigurements and aesthetic problems of appearance. *British Journal of Plastic Surgery*, 54(3), 216–222. doi:10.1054/bjps.2001.3559 PMID:11254413

Heidi Pratt's secret trauma and double-d regrets: "I literally chopped up my own body." (2014). *Extra*. Retrieved from http://extratv.com/2014/11/20/heidi-pratts-secret-trauma-and-double-d-regrets-i-literally-chopped-up-my-own-body

Heidi says she'll get more done; Will do some "maintenance." (2010, February 15). *Chicago Sun Times*, p. 31.

Hennink-Kaminski, H., Reid, L. N., & King, K. W. (2010). The content of cosmetic surgery advertisements placed in large city magazines, 1985-2004. *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, 32(2), 41–57. doi:10.1080/10641734.2010.10505284

Henseler, H., Smith, J., Bowman, A., Khambay, B. S., Ju, X., Ayoub, A., & Ray, A. K. (2013). Subjective versus objective assessment of breast reconstruction. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 66(5), 634–639. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2013.01.006 PMID:23402935

Heyes, C. J. (2007). Cosmetic surgery and the televisual makeover. *Feminist Media Studies*, 7(1), 17–32. doi:10.1080/14680770601103670

Holliday, R., & Sanchez Taylor, J. (2006). Aesthetic surgery as false beauty. *Feminist Theory*, 7(2), 179–195. doi:10.1177/1464700106064418

Hope, D. A., & Mindell, J. A. (1994). Global social skill ratings: Measures of social behavior or physical attractiveness? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *32*(4), 463–469. doi:10.1016/0005-7967(94)90011-6 PMID:8192645

Hosseini, K., Shahgholian, M., & Abdollahi, M.-H. (2015). Hardiness, defense mechanisms, negative self-portrayal scale in applicants and non-applicants of cosmetic surgery. *International Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 10(1), 26–31.

Husted, B. (2009a, November 6). A red Letterman day for Crested Butte fan. *Denver Post*, p. B03.

Husted, B. (2009b, August 14). Heidi 'n' seek: Playboy, hubby reveal a bit about her. Denver Post, p. B03.

Jackson, T., Jiang, C., & Chen, H. (2017). Associations between Chinese/Asian versus Western mass media influences and body image disturbances of young Chinese women. *Body Image*, *17*, 175–183. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.03.007 PMID:27110965

Jeffreys, S. (2005). Beauty and misogyny: Harmful cultural practices in the West. London: Routledge.

Jerslev, A. (2006). The mediated body. *Nordicom Review*, 27(2), 133–151. doi:10.1515/nor-2017-0235

Jiang, X., Liu, D., & Chen, B. (2014). Middle temporal vein: A fatal hazard in injection cosmetic surgery for temple augmentation. *JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery*, 16(3), 227–229. doi:10.1001/jamafacial.2013.2565 PMID:24577714

Johnson, S. K., Podratz, K. E., Dipboye, R. L., & Gibbons, E. (2010). Physical attractiveness biases in ratings of employment suitability: Tracking down the "beauty is beastly" effect. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, *150*(3), 301–318. doi:10.1080/00224540903365414 PMID:20575336

Jones, B. C., Little, A. C., Penton-Voak, I. S., Tiddeman, B. P., Burt, D. M., & Perrett, D. I. (2001). Facial symmetry and judgements of apparent health: Support for a "good genes" explanation of the attractiveness-symmetry relationship. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 22(6), 417–429. doi:10.1016/S1090-5138(01)00083-6

Jordan, J. (2004). The rhetorical limits of the "plastic body.". *The Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 90(3), 327–358. doi:10.1080/0033563042000255543

Jothilakshmi, P. K., Salvi, N. R., Hayden, B. E., & Bose-Haider, B. (2009). Labial reduction in adolescent population—A case series study. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, 22(1), 53–55. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2008.03.008 PMID:19241623

Jung, J., & Lee, Y.-J. (2009). Cross-cultural examination of women's fashion and beauty magazine advertisements in the United States and South Korea. *Clothing & Textiles Research Journal*, 27(4), 274–286. doi:10.1177/0887302X08327087

Kanazawa, S. (2011). Intelligence and physical attractiveness. *Intelligence*, *39*(1), 7–14. doi:10.1016/j. intell.2010.11.003

Kanazawa, S., & Kovar, J. L. (2004). Why beautiful people are more intelligent. *Intelligence*, *32*(3), 227–243. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2004.03.003

Kant, I. (1994). Grounding for the metaphysics of morals (J. W. Ellington, Trans.). In *Ethical philosophy:* The complete texts of grounding for the metaphysics of morals and metaphysical principles of virtue (part II of the metaphysics of morals) (pp. 1–69). Indianapolis, IN: Hackett.

Kellett, S., Clarke, S., & McGill, P. (2008). Outcomes from psychological assessment regarding recommendations for cosmetic surgery. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 61(5), 512–517. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2007.08.025 PMID:18316256

Lane, H., & Bahan, B. (1998). Ethics of cochlear implantation in young children: A review and reply from a deaf-world perspective. *Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, 119(4), 297–313. doi:10.1016/S0194-5998(98)70070-1 PMID:9781982

Lin, T. J. (2010). Evolution of cosmetics: Increased need for experimental clinical medicine. *Journal of Experimental and Clinical Medicine*, 2(2), 49–52. doi:10.1016/S1878-3317(10)60009-5

Lloréns, H. (2013). Latina bodies in the era of elective aesthetic surgery. *Latino Studies*, 11(4), 547–569. doi:10.1057/lst.2013.32

Lorenzo, G. L., Biesanz, J. C., & Human, L. J. (2010). What is beautiful is good and more accurately understood: Physical attractiveness and accuracy in first impressions of personality. *Psychological Science*, 21(12), 1777–1782. doi:10.1177/0956797610388048 PMID:21051521

Lunceford, B. (2008). The walk of shame: A normative description. *Etc.*; a Review of General Semantics, 65(4), 319–329.

Lunceford, B. (2012). Posthuman visions: Creating the technologized body. *Explorations in Media Ecology*, 11(1), 7–25. doi:10.1386/eme.11.1.7_1

Luo, W. (2013). Aching for the altered body: Beauty economy and Chinese women's consumption of cosmetic surgery. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 38, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.wsif.2013.01.013

Luppicini, R. (2009a). Technoethical inquiry: From technological systems to society. Global Media Journal — Canadian Edition, 2(1), 5-21.

Luppicini, R. (2009b). The emerging field of technoethics. In R. Luppicini & R. Adell (Eds.), *Handbook of research on technoethics* (pp. 1–19). Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference. doi:10.4018/978-1-60566-022-6.ch001

Maltby, J., & Day, L. (2011). Celebrity worship and incidence of elective cosmetic surgery: Evidence of a link among young adults. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*, 49(5), 483–489. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.12.014 PMID:22018562

Manning, J. T., Scutt, D., & Lewis-Jones, D. I. (1998). Developmental stability, ejaculate size, and sperm quality in men. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *19*(5), 273–282. doi:10.1016/S1090-5138(98)00024-5

Martínez Lirola, M., & Chovanec, J. (2012). The dream of a perfect body come true: Multimodality in cosmetic surgery advertising. *Discourse & Society*, 23(5), 487–507. doi:10.1177/0957926512452970

Meningaud, J.-P., Benadiba, L., Servant, J.-M., Herve, C., Bertrand, J.-C., & Pelicier, Y. (2003). Depression, anxiety and quality of life: Outcome 9 months after facial cosmetic surgery. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery*, *31*(1), 46–50. doi:10.1016/S1010-5182(02)00159-2 PMID:12553927

Motaparthi, K. (2010). Blepharoplasty in Asian patients: Ethnic and ethical implications. *The Virtual Mentor*, *12*(12), 946–949. doi:10.1001/virtualmentor.2010.12.12.msoc1-1012 PMID:23186822

Nisbett, R. E., & Wilson, T. D. (1977). The halo effect: Evidence for unconscious alteration of judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *35*(4), 250–256. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.35.4.250

Normal Breast Gallery, (2017). Retrieved from http://www.007b.com/breast_gallery.php

Peterson, V. V. (2011). Sex, ethics, and communication. San Diego, CA: Cognella.

Polonijo, A. N., & Carpiano, R. M. (2008). Representations of cosmetic surgery and emotional health in women's magazines in Canada. *Women's Health Issues*, 18(6), 463–470. doi:10.1016/j.whi.2008.07.004 PMID:19041597

Rajabi, M. T., Makateb, A., Hashemi, H., Holland, E. J., Djalilian, A., & Nerad, J. A. (2015). Disaster in cosmetic surgery: Inadvertent formalin injection during blepharoplasty. *Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, *31*(4), e86–e89. doi:10.1097/IOP.000000000000110 PMID:26168210

Rawls, J. (1971). A theory of justice. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Reaves, S., Hitchon, J. B., Park, S.-Y., & Yun, G. W. (2004a). If looks could kill: Digital manipulation of fashion models. *Journal of Mass Media Ethics*, 19(1), 56–71. doi:10.120715327728jmme1901 5

Reaves, S., Hitchon, J. B., Park, S.-Y., & Yun, G. W. (2004b). "You can never be too thin"—Or can you? A pilot study on the effects of digital manipulation of fashion models' body size, leg length and skin color. *Race, Gender, & Class*, 11(2), 140–155.

Ruetzler, T., Taylor, J., Reynolds, D., Baker, W., & Killen, C. (2012). What is professional attire today? A conjoint analysis of personal presentation attributes. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(3), 937–943. doi:10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.11.001

Sanchez Taylor, J. (2012). Fake breasts and power: Gender, class and cosmetic surgery. *Women's Studies International Forum*, *35*(6), 458–466. doi:10.1016/j.wsif.2012.09.003

Selimbegović, L., & Chatard, A. (2015). Single exposure to disclaimers on airbrushed thin ideal images increases negative thought accessibility. *Body Image*, 12, 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.08.012 PMID:25260193

Sender, K. (Director). (2014). *Brand new you: Makeover television and the American dream* [DVD]. Northampton, MA: Media Education Foundation.

Shields, V. R., & Heinecken, D. (2001). *Measuring up: How advertising affects self-image*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press. doi:10.9783/9780812204025

Sider, R. C., & Clements, C. D. (1984). Patients' ethical obligation for their health. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 10(3), 138–142. doi:10.1136/jme.10.3.138 PMID:6502640

Singh, K. (2015). Cosmetic surgery in teenagers: To do or not to do. *Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery*, 8(1), 57–59. doi:10.4103/0974-2077.155091 PMID:25949026

Slevec, J., & Tiggemann, M. (2010). Attitudes toward cosmetic surgery in middle-aged women: Body image, aging anxiety, and the media. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *34*(1), 65–74. doi:10.1111/j.1471-6402.2009.01542.x

Smirnova, M. H. (2012). A will to youth: The woman's anti-aging elixir. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75(7), 1236–1243. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.02.061 PMID:22742924

Society of Professional Journalists. (1996). *Code of ethics*. Retrieved from http://www.spj.org/pdf/ethicscode.pdf

Ng, P. (2016). Exclusive: Heidi Montag reflects on plastic surgery obsession: "I became consumed by this character I was playing." Retrieved from http://www.etonline.com/tv/189526_heidi_montag_reflects_on_plastic_surgery_obsession/

Solvi, A. S., Foss, K., von Soest, T., Roald, H. E., Skolleborg, K. C., & Holte, A. (2010). Motivational factors and psychological processes in cosmetic breast augmentation surgery. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 63(4), 673–680. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2009.01.024 PMID:19268646

Spilson, S. V., Chung, K. C., Greenfield, M. L. V. H., & Walters, M. (2002). Are plastic surgery advertisements conforming to the ethical codes of the American society of plastic surgeons? *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, *109*(3), 1181–1186. doi:10.1097/00006534-200203000-00063 PMID:11884856

Stewart, C. (2010a, February 14). Montag took wrong road with plastic surgeries. *Orange County Register*, p. K.

Stewart, C. (2010b, May 9). Heidi Montag plans more surgeries. Orange County Register, p. Arts K.

Stice, E., Spangler, D., & Agras, W. S. (2001). Exposure to media-portrayed thin-ideal images adversely affects vulnerable girls: A longitudinal experiment. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 20(3), 270–288. doi:10.1521/jscp.20.3.270.22309

Sugiyama, L. S. (2004). Is beauty in the context-sensitive adaptations of the beholder? Shiwiar use of waist-to-hip ratio in assessments of female mate value. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 25(1), 51–62. doi:10.1016/S1090-5138(03)00083-7

Swami, V. (2009). Body appreciation, media influence, and weight status predict consideration of cosmetic surgery among female undergraduates. *Body Image*, *6*(4), 315–317. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.07.001 PMID:19656747

Swami, V., Campana, A. N. N. B., Ferreira, L., Barrett, S., Harris, A. S., & Tavares, M. C. G. C. F. (2011). The acceptance of cosmetic surgery scale: Initial examination of its factor structure and correlates among Brazilian adults. *Body Image*, 8(2), 179–185. PMID:21354875

Swami, V., Taylor, R., & Carvalho, C. (2009). Acceptance of cosmetic surgery and celebrity worship: Evidence of associations among female undergraduates. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 47(8), 869–872. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2009.07.006

The Great Wall of Vagina. (n.d.). About the great wall of vagina. Retrieved from http://www.greatwallof-vagina.co.uk/about

The Shape of a Mother. (2016). Retrieved from http://theshapeofamother.com/

Theran, S. A., Newberg, E. M., & Gleason, T. R. (2010). Adolescent girls' parasocial interactions with media figures. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 171(3), 270–277. doi:10.1080/00221325.2010.483 700 PMID:20836434

Thornhill, R., & Gangestad, S. W. (1996). The evolution of human sexuality. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 11(2), 98–102. doi:10.1016/0169-5347(96)81051-2 PMID:21237770

Tignol, J., Biraben-Gotzamanis, L., Martin-Guehl, C., Grabot, D., & Aouizerate, B. (2007). Body dysmorphic disorder and cosmetic surgery: Evolution of 24 subjects with a minimal defect in appearance 5 years after their request for cosmetic surgery. *European Psychiatry*, 22(8), 520–524. doi:10.1016/j. eurpsy.2007.05.003 PMID:17900876

Tylka, T. L., & Iannantuono, A. C. (2016). Perceiving beauty in all women: Psychometric evaluation of the Broad Conceptualization of Beauty Scale. *Body Image*, *17*, 67-81. doi:j.bodyim.2016.02.005

Veale, D., Ellison, N., Werner, T. G., Dodhia, R., Serfaty, M. A., & Clarke, A. (2012). Development of a cosmetic procedure screening questionnaire (COPS) for body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 65(4), 530–532. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2011.09.007 PMID:22000332

von Soest, T., Kvalem, I. L., Roald, H. E., & Skolleborg, K. C. (2009). The effects of cosmetic surgery on body image, self-esteem, and psychological problems. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery; JPRAS*, 62(10), 1238–1244. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2007.12.093 PMID:18595791

von Soest, T., Kvalem, I. L., Skolleborg, K. C., & Roald, H. E. (2009). Cosmetic surgery and the relationship between appearance satisfaction and extraversion: Testing a transactional model of personality. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43(6), 1017–1025. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2009.07.001

Ward, C. (2010, April 14). From the girl next door to freaky fake. And she's not done with the surgery yet; Exclusive fame-hungry Heidi Montag. *The Mirror (Stafford, Tex.)*, 24–25.

Wen, N. (2017). Celebrity influence and young people's attitudes toward cosmetic surgery in Singapore: The role of parasocial relationships and identification. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 1234–1252.

Wiggins, J. S., Wiggins, N., & Conger, J. C. (1968). Correlates of heterosexual somatic preference. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *10*(1), 82–90. doi:10.1037/h0026394 PMID:4386664

Wimalawansa, S. M., Fox, J. P., & Johnson, R. M. (2014). The measurable cost of complications for outpatient cosmetic surgery in patients with mental health diagnoses. *Aesthetic Surgery Journal*, *34*(2), 306–316. doi:10.1177/1090820X13519100 PMID:24497616

Wolf, N. (1991). The beauty myth: How images of beauty are used against women. New York, W.: Morrow.

Zuckerman, D., & Abraham, A. (2008). Teenagers and cosmetic surgery: Focus on breast augmentation and liposuction. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*, *43*(4), 318–324. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.04.018 PMID:18809128

ADDITIONAL READING

Aquino, Y. S. J., & Steinkamp, N. (2016). Borrowed beauty? Understanding identity in Asian facial cosmetic surgery. *Medicine, Health Care, and Philosophy*, 19(3), 431–441. doi:10.100711019-016-9699-0 PMID:26983846

Atiyeh, B. S., Rubeiz, M. T., & Hayek, S. N. (2008). Aesthetic/cosmetic surgery and ethical challenges. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, *32*(6), 829–839. doi:10.100700266-008-9246-3 PMID:18820963

Blum, V. L. (2007). Objects of love: I want a famous face and the illusions of star culture. *Configurations*, 15(1), 33–53. doi:10.1353/con.0.0025

Braun, V. (2005). In search of (better) sexual pleasure: Female genital "cosmetic" surgery. *Sexualities*, 8(4), 407–424. doi:10.1177/1363460705056625

Davis, K. (1995). Reshaping the female body: The dilemma of cosmetic surgery. New York: Routledge.

Davis, K. (2003). *Dubious equalities and embodied differences: Cultural studies on cosmetic surgery*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Devereaux, M. (2013). Is medical aesthetics really medical? In P. Z. Brand (Ed.), *Beauty unlimited* (pp. 175–191). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Dobson, A. S., McDonald, K., Kirkman, M., Souter, K., & Fisher, J. (2017). Invisible labour? Tensions and ambiguities of modifying the "private" body: The case of female genital cosmetic surgery. In A. S. Elias, R. Gill, & C. Scharff (Eds.), *Aesthetic labour: Rethinking beauty politics in neoliberalism* (pp. 351–368). London: Palgrave. doi:10.1057/978-1-137-47765-1_20

Gilman, S. L. (1999). *Making the body beautiful: A cultural history of aesthetic surgery*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Griffiths, D., & Mullock, A. (2017). Cosmetic surgery: Regulatory challenges in a global beauty market. *Health Care Analysis*, 1–15. doi:10.100710728-017-0339-5 PMID:28247102

Hendrickse, J., Arpan, L. M., Clayton, R. B., & Ridgway, J. L. (2017). Instagram and college women's body image: Investigating the roles of appearance-related comparisons and intrasexual competition. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 74, 92–100. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2017.04.027

Hyde, M. J. (2010). Perfection: Coming to terms with being human. Waco, TX: Baylor University Press.

Lunceford, B. (2014). The ethics of seeking body perfection, with continual reference to Heidi Montag. In S. J. Thompson (Ed.), *Global issues and ethical considerations in human enhancement technologies* (pp. 67–95). Hershey, PA: IGI-Global; doi:10.4018/978-1-4666-6010-6.ch005

Magon, N., & Alinsod, R. (2017). Female cosmetic genital surgery: Delivering what women want. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India*, 67(1), 15–19. doi:10.100713224-016-0930-y PMID:28242962

Meningaud, J. P., Servant, J. M., Herve, C., & Bertrand, J. C. (2000). Ethics and aims of cosmetic surgery: A contribution from an analysis of claims after minor damage. *Medicine and Law*, 19(2), 237–252. PMID:10994212

Mousavi, S. R. (2010). The ethics of aesthetic surgery. *Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery*, 3(1), 38–40. doi:10.4103/0974-2077.63396 PMID:20606994

Oumeish, O. Y. (2001). The cultural and philosophical concepts of cosmetics in beauty and art through the medical history of mankind. *Clinics in Dermatology*, *19*(4), 375–386. doi:10.1016/S0738-081X(01)00194-8 PMID:11535377

Parker, L. S. (1993). Social justice, federal paternalism, and feminism: Breast implants in the cultural context of female beauty. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*, *3*(1), 57–76. doi:10.1353/ken.0.0158 PMID:11645225

Poster, M. (2007). Swan's way: Care of self in the hyperreal. *Configurations*, 15(2), 151–175. doi:10.1353/con.0.0029

Schermer, M. (2008). On the argument that enhancement is "cheating.". *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 34(2), 85–88. doi:10.1136/jme.2006.019646 PMID:18234944

Sheldon, S., & Wilkinson, S. (1998). Female genital mutilation and cosmetic surgery: Regulating non-therapeutic body modification. *Bioethics*, 12(4), 263–285. doi:10.1111/1467-8519.00117 PMID:11657294

Slevin, K. F. (2010). "If I had lots of money... I'd have a body makeover": Managing the aging body. *Social Forces*, 88(3), 1003–1020. doi:10.1353of.0.0302

Sterodimas, A., Radwanski, H. N., & Pitanguy, I. (2011). Ethical issues in plastic and reconstructive surgery. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 35(2), 262–267. doi:10.100700266-011-9674-3 PMID:21336881

Testa, G., Carlisle, E., Simmerling, M., & Angelos, P. (2012). Living donation and cosmetic surgery: A double standard in medical ethics? *The Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 23(2), 110–117. PMID:22822698

Wijsbek, H. (2000). The pursuit of beauty: The enforcement of aesthetics or a freely adopted lifestyle? *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 26(6), 454–458. doi:10.1136/jme.26.6.454 PMID:11129847

Yurteri-Kaplan, L. A., Antosh, D. D., Sokol, A. I., Park, A. J., Gutman, R. E., Kingsberg, S. A., & Iglesia, C. B. (2012). Interest in cosmetic vulvar surgery and perception of vulvar appearance. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 207(5), 428. e421-428. e427. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2012.06.056

Zylinska, J. (2007). Of swans and ugly ducklings: Bioethics between humans, animals, and machines. *Configurations*, 15(2), 125–150. doi:10.1353/con.0.0028

KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Autonomy: The right to make decisions and act for oneself, free from coercion.

Beauty: Beauty is culturally bound and differs among groups. The only standards of beauty that seem to transcend culture are features that signal good health, such as symmetry of features.

Beneficence: Working on behalf of the best interests and wellbeing of the patient.

Cosmetic Surgery: Cosmetic surgery is done solely for aesthetic reasons on otherwise healthy, functioning body parts. Common examples include breast implants and rhinoplasty.

Justice: Balancing benefits, risks, and costs fairly.

Medical Ethics: The field of study that examines values and morals in medical practice and prescribes norms of right and wrong conduct. Some questions that medical ethicists grapple with include doctor-assisted suicide, end-of-life care, care for those who are incapacitated or otherwise unable to make decisions for themselves, and medical business and advertising practices.

Nonmaleficence: Avoiding harm whenever possible. This can be best summed up by the common axiom mistakenly ascribed to the Hippocratic Oath: "do no harm."